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... ranged-left and open-ended ...

Norman Potter, from a position statement for the School of Construction, Bristol, c. 1964

My position is that texts are worldly, to some degree they are events, and, even when they appear to deny it, they are nevertheless part of the social world, human life, and of course the historical moments in which they are located and interpreted.

Edward Said, ‘Secular Criticism’, The world, the text, and the critic, 1983

The only way forward was to make a virtue out of the limitation: the boundaries of legitimate knowledge are endlessly challengeable, corrigible, movable, by God, by man, by woman. There is no rationality without uncertain grounds, without relativism of authority. Relativism of authority does not establish the authority of relativism: it opens reason to new claimants.

Gillian Rose, Love’s work, 1995
An introduction: writing for publication

There is a presumption implied in this exercise of recycling what may be lesser writings on a topic that is itself already ‘lesser’, namely – typography (though the book’s subtitle might have run on to ‘graphic design, and design as a whole, with some dashes into architecture, and broad-brush cultural commentary here and there too’). In my defence: I have always tried to see typography in connection with the human world, and with the most important issues that can concern us; and I have always tried to write with full energy and seriousness, though appropriately, whatever the place of publication – magazine, academic journal, newspaper, company promotional publication, or photocopied circular.

Some further reasons for this collection: there is now a generation of people who know little of the things that we were caught up in even fifteen or twenty years ago. Our commentary from those times is scattered in hard-to-access publications. With this ‘our’ and ‘we’, I include a few colleagues who have made or wanted to make the same step of republishing their articles, and who have encouraged me in this venture. So there seems some point in this work of retrieval, even just on the level of documentary, whatever the status of these pieces as an unintended body of writing. And, in my case, much of what I have published has appeared in small-circulation journals; a few things have appeared only in languages other than English; and there are some texts that are published for the first time here.

After early forays into print in the school magazine, aged 16 or so (an article on jazz,¹ and a grim short story in the mood of Joseph Conrad’s *The secret agent*), I began, after graduating from the course in Typography & Graphic Communication at the University of Reading, to pursue what must have been a deep urge for publication. That graduation happened in 1975. The next year, the then recently revived journal of the Society of Typographic Designers – *Typographic* – published a longish review I had written ‘on spec’ of Ruari McLean’s book *Jan Tschichold: typographer*. McLean had been external examiner for our class at Reading, and I had written a final-year thesis on ‘The idea of a new typography’ in the work of Jan Tschichold, Max Bill and Anthony Froshaug. I was furious with Ruari

¹. Somehow, in the mid-1960s and in my middle teenage years, already through with the Beatles and the Stones, and in a socially very confined English environment, I had found my way to such documents of deep human consolation, and liberation, as Miles Davis’s *Kind of blue* and John Coltrane’s *A love supreme*. Both discs were then quite recent: recorded in 1959 and 1964, respectively.
McLean’s book, and the review was of a Leavis-like severity. (F.R. Leavis had figured large among my contradictory guiding stars – others were William Empson and Perry Anderson – while doing a degree course in English literature, unsuccessfullly, before going to Reading.) McLean’s book was too reliant, and without proper acknowledgement, on Tschichold’s self-praising anonymous autobiographical essay of 1972; as well as slipshod, it was too genial, and without the moral attack and sometimes crazy concern for detail that its subject had always shown. My review, as it was published, had been clumsily edited. For example ‘I feel that’ and ‘I say’ were inserted in front of two of the blunter judgements. This grated especially because, at that time, the first person pronoun was forbidden in my writing: in great contrast to this present latest piece. So I became as angry with the magazine’s editor, Brian Grimbly, as I was with the book’s author. Some heavy correspondence between the three parties followed, both in print and privately. Publication of the review in *Typographic* had been set up by Anthony Froshaug, whom I was then getting to know – a master for Grimbly, as he was for me. The fall-out from this incident ran on for some years afterwards. In fact, these events resonate still, because they seemed to set a pattern for my writing: the argument in print with people whom you may know as colleagues; the tussle with the copy-editor; and the peculiar occasion of a new book being thrown into play – and the ensuing scrum, as the author, the publisher, and the reviewers, jostle and struggle for possession. The review of McLean’s Jan Tschichold is not included here. A review of a further edition of that book is; it is a calmer and perhaps more interesting piece.

When Rob Waller started *Information Design Journal*, the first real platform for my writing came into existence. Rob had been a typography student at Reading, the year above me, and on graduating had gone to the Institute of Educational Technology at the Open University, to work as a theoretically informed designer (and vice versa). There, at Milton Keynes, he was spreading what we thought of as ‘Reading values’, chief among which was just this conjunction of theory and practice. Yet his decision to start this journal of the then almost unheard-of praxis of ‘information design’ was a personal declaration of independence, outside the nest, even if continuing much that he had learned as an undergraduate. (Later he returned to the Reading fold, by writing a PhD there, while continuing his work at the Open University.) At that time – 1979 – and two years overdue, I was finishing an MPhil thesis at Reading (‘Otto Neurath’s contribution to visual communication, 1925–1945: the history, graphic language and theory of Isotype’), and had also begun, almost by default, to teach part-time in the department. I wrote two book reviews for the first number of *IDJ*, and was also, in time for that first number, appointed ‘book reviews editor’.

*IDJ* became a good platform because I was part of the inner circle of the journal, and could publish almost what I liked, unfettered by officious copy-editing. Most of my contributions to *IDJ* have been outside the main flow of
information design. But, in the situation of a sometimes desperate lack of decent material, the stuff I submitted was welcomed. When in 1989, after a period as joint editors, Rob Waller handed over editorship of the journal to Paul Stiff, this situation of welcoming acceptance continued, and intensified. Paul and I had overlapped as undergraduates at Reading, and we had once made plans for starting our own journal.

In these years of postgraduate work and its aftermath, I latched on to the just starting Design History Society. This was formed officially in 1977, at the instigation of the architectural historian Tim Benton, at a conference at Brighton. The DHS was, for a time, a path out of what have always seemed to be the confines of typographic history. By contrast, the Printing Historical Society, formed in 1964, seemed too antiquarian, too focused on mere technics; it had a strong base at Reading, and two prime movers there in the persons of Michael Twyman (founder and head of the Department of Typography) and James Mosley (Librarian of the St Bride Printing Library, and part-time lecturer at Reading). Through the Design History Society I met people interested in all the other parts of the field of design, and for a time this Society's Newsletter (typewritten, small-offset printed, and redesigned by Ruth Hecht, in a project I supervised while she was an undergraduate at Reading) was a possible place for publication. When in 1988, after some long-drawn-out manœuvring, the DHS started its Journal of Design History, I became, in the journal's first years, its most frequent contributor.

In 1982, I left Reading to live in London and do freelance editorial and design work – trying to maintain the ideal of theory and practice, jointly pursued – and began to write more in earnest. For a time (1984–6) I also taught history of graphic design part-time at Ravensbourne College of Art & Design: an experience that made me resolve to stay away from formal (routinized, monitored) education. There now occurred what seems, in retrospect, a chain of events.

Starting work on the task in January 1983, I wrote some entries (eleven: more than a reasonable portion) for Contemporary designers, a 'who's who' work of reference. After the book had appeared in 1984, I began to agitate for payment from the publisher. The sum due was miserable. My only lever of influence was my typewriter. I wrote a review of the book for the Design History Society Newsletter, and then submitted another, different review – an interesting literary exercise, which I would do a few times again – to Designer (journal of the then-named Society of Industrial Artists and Designers: the SIAD). The first paragraph of that review ran: 'Authors should not review their own books, and so neither should contributors to large (658 pages) works of reference. But then who could review such a book sensibly? Who better than someone who has had a glimpse of how it was compiled, struggled with the job of writing 400 word “evaluative essays” on the complete works of several designers, and who further has no financial interest in the book (or at least, not until the publishers get round to paying).’ The
parenthetical clause was cut by the magazine’s editor or sub-editor; but the review got me into *Designer* as a regular contributor. In March 1986, with the publisher still not paying, I wrote to them again: ‘If nothing happens by Friday 21 March, I will make the matter public – I don’t suppose I am the only still-unpaid contributor – with letters to *Industrial Design*, *Design*, *Designer’s Journal*, the *Design History Society Newsletter*, and *Art Monthly.*’ The next week a cheque arrived.

Just then, the middle 1980s, *Designer* was quite a lively publication, enjoying a period of flourish in the golden years of ‘the eighties’: there was lots of business to write about, and the magazine even had a ‘financial section’, printed on pages carrying a pink tint (after the *Financial Times*). The whole thing – the magazine and its topic – was altogether interesting. This flourish in the life of a professional society’s bulletin was the creation of the editor Alastair Best, an experienced and literate journalist, who had read English at Cambridge, had memories of Leavis and was a Pevsnerite, much involved with architecture. He liked my writing. I knew this for sure when he once phoned on receipt of copy, to say how pleased he was: the piece was a caustic put-down of an overweening design-world figure. *Designer* – the SIAAD – was also a chronically bad payer, and Alastair once showed his colours by sending me a cheque drawn on his own bank account; it bounced on first presentation.

I can remember vividly – it would have been 1987 – picking up the phone to hear, for the first time, the voice of Simon Esterson. He had seen my pieces in *Designer* – he was also part of that London circle of design-meets-architecture – and he asked if I would be interested in writing for *Blueprint*. Simon was the designer and one of the founding directors of *Blueprint*, and he played an editorial role on it too, commissioning pieces in his own spheres of activity and interest. I went to the offices of the magazine, at 26 Cramer Street in Marylebone, London W1, in what seemed a short-life occupation, but which in fact went on for years. There I was informally interviewed by Simon and the magazine’s editor, Deyan Sudjic. I felt the door opening when Deyan laughed at a story I told (about a Dutch designer friend who wanted to write a book on Frank Sinatra). The first commission was immediately announced – I suppose now that this was what prompted the invitation: to write a piece about MetaDesign, Erik Spiekermann’s graphic design practice in Berlin. I had just been to visit Erik in Berlin, and had already published (in *Information Design Journal*, the year before) a review of his ‘typographic novel’, *Ursache & Wirkung*; so it wasn’t a difficult task.

Now my eyes were opened to the habits of journalism. When Simon Esterson read my copy for the MetaDesign article, he asked ‘where are the quotes?’: the staple ingredient of magazine writing. At first I thought it was a dumb question. This lack of quotations from the subject perhaps betrayed my academic upbringing – though the Leavis and Empson approach required much quotation and intense consideration of verbal art;
certainly it showed a confidence in my own voice as a writer. In magazine articles, quotes from the subject tend towards mere ventriloquism, in the service of what the writer wants to say. I suspect them: they can be confections, plucked out of their context, tidied up, and changed in their meaning by their new situation within the journalist’s prose. But in this case Simon was making a good point; he had spoken with Erik Spiekermann on the phone, and so knew first-hand about Erik’s special powers of speech, and command of demotic English. Later (in IDJ, 1993) I made up for this lack by publishing a question-and-answer interview with Erik, in which my contribution was half-finished mumbles, with Erik in unstoppable flow. Just then, in the late summer of 1987, Blueprint was in some sort of race with Designer’s Journal: who would publish a piece about MetaDesign first? I am not sure who won; but it can only have been by some days. Another insight into the practice of quick journalism came when I saw that the Designer’s Journal writer (William Owen) had incorporated without acknowledgement passages from my review of Ursache & Wirkung.

After this article, I began to contribute to almost every issue of Blueprint, was put on the list of ‘contributors’, and became part of a loose group of writers associated with the magazine. We would see each other at the frequent parties that Peter Murray, the magazine’s publisher, would throw in interesting locations, different each time, and with thoughtfully chosen wine. I suspected that these good parties were also a way of diverting contributors’ attention from the company’s shockingly slow payments. One always had to nag for cheques, which were anyway well below the proper rates for the trade. I remember Peter Murray and Deyan Sudjic rather sheepishly welcoming perhaps their star columnist to one of these receptions with an envelope: obviously containing a last-ditch cheque. But I felt I was part of some sort of intellectual scene, in conversation with writers (Jan Abrams, Brian Hatton, Rowan Moore, come to mind first) who were way beyond the hack journalists in powers of thought and expression, and in their intellectual reach, and yet were resisting the trap of full-time teaching.

The paradox of Blueprint in this, its first phase of existence, was intimately bound up with its subject. It was riding the waves of the extraordinary activity of the 1980s, of Britain under the governments of Margaret Thatcher – of deregulation, of Americanization, of the design boom. This provided the subject matter, and the advertising revenue. And yet the magazine was produced by some sharp people, who were able both to document these events and to put them into critical perspective. The magazine’s large pages were often brilliant in their effects (photography, illustration). Simon Esterson and his assistant, then successor, Stephen Coates, had found a formula that carried very well the discovery of metropolitan life of that time in London: confidence and toughness; the feeling of relation to the other great world-cities, on which special issues were regularly produced. We all had qualms about the magazine’s reliance on imagery: of the designers’ own body and apparel (at an extreme in Phil Sayer’s cover mugshots), of build-
ings as stage scenery, unexplained by plans. We wondered whether anyone read the words.

As a contributor to *Blueprint*, I learned much about compressed expression, speedily done. One wrote to a given length, with 100 words as the basic unit. Then when the pages had been designed, you were asked, or allowed, to come to the office. The copy editor (Vicky Wilson) would present you with the task of losing say 22 lines. On the paper proof, you then crossed out less necessary sentences or portions of sentences. Then you wrote captions to pictures. The design of the pages required that the captions run to an exact number of lines, no more, no less. My attempt was always to put the content I was losing from the main text back into the captions. And sometimes one had to spin out a caption to fill the required length, so then it was also a chance to say fresh things. All this one did at short notice, in two or three hours, often in the evening. For a home-worker, unused to offices and not (then) familiar with the Macintosh computers that they used at *Blueprint*, this was part of the challenge. Rick Poynor, who came then to work as assistant editor on *Blueprint*, once remarked to me that writing to length so exactly is one of the real buzzes of the business. I agree, and like to think that this simple craft or skill in writing is as with improvising musicians who can play seemingly effortless and varied successions of choruses, bringing the piece to a finish just as the licensing laws require the venue to close.

In 1990, Wordsearch Ltd, the publisher of *Blueprint*, launched a magazine about graphic design, called *Eye*. The idea had been mooted at Cramer Street for some time, years even. When it seemed that the project was being shelved, the intended editor, Rick Poynor, already *Blueprint*’s graphic design specialist, had even taken steps to join one of the competing magazines. In its first years, *Eye* was ‘the international review of graphic design’, with text in English, French and German. Before the name was settled on, research had been done on how the word ‘eye’ was received in continental European countries: there were doubts about how this assembly of letters would play, phonetically, in Italy. After some issues had been put out, it became clear that the great trouble and expense of producing text in three languages was not worth it: there was just a handful of subscribers in France, for example. Peter Murray joked that, but for *Eye*, he would have his helicopter by now. So first the French and then the German text was dropped.

I had switched from writing for *Blueprint* to becoming a regular contributor to *Eye*: with a sense of loss, because I had enjoyed the wide scope and the frequency and urgency of *Blueprint*. *Eye* was confined to ‘graphic design’ and always seemed too careful and sometimes even just precious. This was perhaps a reflection of Rick Poynor’s great thoroughness; certainly it was a function of the magazine’s relatively leisured schedule, and its high production values. Rick was on a mission, to create a culture of critical discussion of graphic design. I can respect this attempt, even now; but by then my own missionary zeal for graphic design had waned. I had had some zeal
ten years previously, within the design history discussions, and in occasion-
al encounters with art historians. But by 1990 I no longer really believed in
the activity of graphic design or its critical discussion, though I was happy to
play some variations on increasingly familiar personal themes. I enjoyed ar-
ning with Rick, always marvelling at his fluency and cogency in discussion,
and always remembered that he was the person at Blueprint who struggled
to get a proper system for paying contributors.

In its trilingual period, the main copy-editor on Eye, chosen for his mul-
tilingualism, had that bleaching attitude towards text, very common in the
newspaper and magazine trade, whereby all idiosyncrasies and subtleties of
verbal expression are wiped out, regularized. Professional journalists must
get used to such treatment, but I could not bear it. After one piece of mine
had been published like this, I sent an annotated photocopy to the maga-
zine. Two issues later, I wrote an anonymous letter to Rick at the magazine,
pointing out that the German version of something I had written was a
richer text than the English original. This was a piece in the issue (no. 5) that
had dropped French text. I wrote this letter in the voice of an outraged post-
structuralist, who suspected that this was a move against French thought,
and who cursed the work of the Cranbrook school (recently published in
Eye) as ‘renegade’ in its reported deviation from the path of deconstruction.
Five years later, in 1996, I encountered a real-life French poststructuralist
(Gérard Mermoz) who really did hold this opinion about the North Ameri-
can corruption of deconstruction: we had some exchange of views in the
correspondence columns of Emigre magazine.

In 1992, despite a short engagement with a ‘left-wing Private Eye’ – the
magazine Casablanca, which I had joined in a wish to escape from typogra-
phy, and in the considerable political confusion of that time, post-1989 – my
energies turned away from magazines and towards books. Resigning from
the editorial group of Casablanca, exhausted, after its first two issues, I de-
cided to put my energies into writing calmer material, with some prospect
of making work of lasting value. In the autumn of that year my book Modern
typography was published. When in 1993 I wrote two feature articles for
Eye, on Edward Wright and Karel Martens, it felt like a signing off. These
were both subjects dear to my heart, and whose work embodies values that
live despite, and in opposition to, the consistent thread of nullity and false
fronts in design culture. I was becoming sick of finding these tropes of
opposition and lament being churned out so often in my writing.

The work of writing, editing and publishing books, on which I then be-
gan to concentrate, is difficult. Achieving anything in this world often seems
impossible. With plentiful evidence of one’s own mistakes and muddles
now enshrined in print, one becomes kinder in assessing other people’s
efforts. Having published what seemed an endless succession of merciless
book reviews, I began to be glad of the chance to celebrate ‘contributors’ – a
word that Norman Potter used with special emphasis; he wasn’t thinking
of the people whose names appear on the imprint pages of magazines –
especially if they hadn’t had much public notice. I hope that these pieces of appreciation bring some leavening to this collection.

Making this selection of pieces has been hard. I have selected to avoid too much repetition, and in favour of those pieces that have some function of imparting information, rather than just opinions. In a curious way, the writing that seems to survive best is that which is most of its moment – reporting on things that had just happened. The pieces are grouped here loosely by genre and mood, rather than by any strict system of order.

It seems best to let the themes of this collection speak for themselves, rather than attempt a grand summary here. I have added a note after each piece, giving information about the context of writing and publication. The question of payment, which I have emphasized in this introduction, could have been mentioned also in these notes. And it would be another way of categorizing these writings: those done for a fee, those not. One could not hope to make a living from this kind of writing – for that you need to write for newspapers and large circulation magazines, to be very productive, and to be prepared to write on any subject – but the question of payment is important, as a token of power. The fee gives some greater latitude to the editors of the publication: your words have been bought and are now at the disposal of the editor and sub-editor. A fee encourages the writer to fashion sentences that are worth buying, that play small tricks, that show off. I know this by contrast: from the relief, after a period of doing mostly paid journalism, of then writing without this pressure. Then one can find considerable satisfaction in exact description: passing on information or an experience without the need to amaze a reader.

In preparing the texts for this book, I have gone back, wherever possible, to the words I wrote, rather than to the words as they appeared in print. Sub-editors can certainly improve an article; and they can certainly damage it. Some of the Blueprint subs were especially good at writing titles (sharp titles went with the typography of the magazine) and two of these I have gladly retained. But in these pages, for the most part, and for better or worse, are the words as they came off a heavy Adler typewriter (to 1986), Amstrad PCW (to 1990), Macintosh SE/30 (to 1997), PowerMac 7300/200 (to date). I have standardized orthography, but otherwise made only minimal changes. Pictures have been included with the pieces only where necessary to the sense of the text.

The editor of a magazine or journal is a writer’s first reader. One writes in the first place for that person. I am glad to thank the people who suggested or accepted these pieces, and especially those I have mentioned in the course of this introduction. In preparing this book, two readers have helped decisively with the choice and ordering of the pieces: Françoise Berserik and Linda Eerme. I thank them now formally, but very warmly too.
Large and small letters: authority and democracy

Hierarchies
Forget for a moment the precedence of speech and say that in the beginning was a single set of characters: ideograms becoming letters and numerals. In Greek and Roman antiquity these were developed into the familiar forms we know in English as ‘capitals’ (or in other languages as ‘Großbuchstaben’, ‘majuscules’, ‘kapitalen’ ...). The word brings with it the suggestion of being at the head (‘caput’ in Latin): the chief city of a country or the crowning feature of an architectural column. One might suppose that the application of the word to these letterforms is connected with this latter sense, for capital letters were to be found, most publicly and formally, in inscriptions placed ‘at the head of’ columns in built structures. Columns of stone and of text: the analogy with architecture is here, as elsewhere in typography, hard to resist.

The capital letters of Rome and its empire entered into the consciousness of Western cultures as the forms for letters. Think of the first letter of the alphabet and you probably think of two diagonals meeting at a point, with a cross-bar. Try to describe the lowercase ‘a’ in words, and you are in trouble, even before getting to the problem of whether it is a two- or single-storey form. Nicolete Gray once observed that the lack of a positive term (in English) for this other category of letters supports the idea that capitals are the essential forms.¹ And now that metal type is almost extinct, ‘lowercase’ may need to be explained: capitals (or majuscules or large letters) were kept in the upper of a stacked pair of cases, the minuscules or small letters lived below. That the old terms live on may be due to the upstairs/downstairs class distinction that attaches to the two kinds. Certainly for traditionally-minded people there are capitals – proper letters – and then, as a secondary matter, these other forms. This view was clearly expressed in one of the gospels of traditionalism, Stanley Morison’s First principles of typography. Writing about title-pages, he insisted on capitals for book title and

author’s name, adding: ‘As lower-case is a necessary evil, which we should do well to subordinate since we cannot suppress, it should be avoided when it is at its least rational and least attractive – in large sizes.’

The most celebrated and influential public letters of Roman antiquity were proportionately square capitals, as on the Trajan column. At the same time another set of forms was in use: rustics. These letters were distinguished by narrow proportions and more flowing strokes. Rustic letters were used in less prominent situations and for less formal messages. For private, ephemeral communication there were free scripts, rather formless to our eyes. The coexistence of different forms for different purposes has persisted. Whether or not capitals are seen as the essential forms of letters, they are still generally accepted as the most suitable variety for public declarations, or in displayed text. Small letters are for quieter, more intimate uses: from one person to another.

This broad distinction may be true, but the matter becomes complicated by the fact that, for a millennium or so, we have been using large and small letters together, and this is where the game of ‘upper or lower?’ really starts. For typographers who are not traditionalist nor postmodernist, the difficult issue is not whether to set a whole word in capitals – the need for that may rarely arise – but whether to set its first letter with a capital. What are the conventions that help us to decide?

Rules of style
Capitalization could begin to become an issue from the time when texts were printed. With this multiplication in identical copies, the transcription of languages began to be standardized. Although manuscript production could be, and was, highly organized, the process of writing a text allowed a certain indeterminacy about how the language was to be orthographically ‘dressed’. The very nature

of printing, as a succession of distinct processes (copy preparation, composition, proofing, machining) encouraged a more detached attitude to the product, and allowed a much greater ability to control consistency of ‘dress’. It took some centuries after Gutenberg for the issue of consistency to emerge clearly, in manuals laying down rules of style. But by the end of the eighteenth century, in the major Western languages, the wildest variations in spelling and capitalization had been brought to cultivated order.

The conventions for presenting printed language are specific to a language-community at a particular time; but within the community there may be subgroups following different practices. To take just the English language as printed in the late twentieth century, rules for capitalization may be outlined as follows. We agree that words should have initial capitals at the start of sentences and when they are proper names. The first category is clear; the second is not. There may be no argument over ‘London’, ‘Mary’ or ‘Easter’. But what about ‘Marxism’, ‘Gothic’ and ‘God’? The question of whether the deity (Deity) should be capitalized points to the strong cultural pressures at work here. Logic can only go so far. Even in a largely secular community, we still hesitate to set ‘god’ (a concept that can be disbelieved) and not ‘God’ (an undisputed primary being).

English-language practice shows some significant differences with that of comparably developed languages. The French seem more reasoned in their approach to capitalization. Thus in setting titles of books, say in a bibliography, the standard French style is ‘first word and proper names only’: The life and adventures of Robinson Crusoe; while an unenlightened English-language text would have The Life and Adventures of Robinson Crusoe. Applying this system to titles of periodicals brings some problems: one has Illustrated London news or The guardian, both of which may look odd. So perhaps this category of title should be regarded as a proper name and capitalized throughout.

The German case
German orthography is different and requires lengthier discussion. Like the history of its speakers – one is inclined to say – German is especially problematic. In all the politically and culturally various communities that constitute the German-speaking world, nouns are
capitalized. In one doubtful respect this makes life easier. Evangelists and card-carrying atheists will treat ‘Gott’ (god) and ‘Hund’ (dog) equally, for purely grammatical reasons. Yet there are many fine judgements to be made over what exactly is a noun, especially when in another context the same word might be an adjective or a verb. See the long lists of rules and exceptions concerning this question in any manual of German orthography. Or consider such silly sentences as ‘Ich habe in Moskau liebe (Liebe) Genossen (genossen)’, where the capitals tell the difference between what has been found in Moscow: comrades (capital G) or something more intense (capital L).

The convention of capitalizing nouns in German seems to have been formally instituted in the eighteenth century. As in other lan-

1 Jakob Grimm, Deutsche Grammatik, 1st edn, 1819. (Same size.)
guages, words were then heavily but rather indiscriminately capitalized. (One imagines that this was sometimes affected by the arbitrary factor of what was available in the typecase at that moment.) While in other countries the rationalization of that time was towards a minimum of capitals, in German the opposite direction was followed. Some enlightened voices spoke out against this convention. The most famous of these were Jakob and Wilhelm Grimm, who formulated the criticisms that were to emerge again in the twentieth century: the German language was written and printed in ugly scripts that were hard to read, especially for foreigners, and it suffered from irrational, wasteful capitalization. In his *Deutsche Grammatik*, Jakob Grimm practised a reformed orthography, using capitals just at sentence openings and for proper names. While
the first edition of this text (1819) was set in blackletter with all
nouns capitalized, the next (1822) and succeeding editions used ro-
man type with this reduced use of capitals (figures 1 & 2). Later, in
the Deutsches Wörterbuch (first volume 1854), Jakob and Wilhelm
Grimm took this further, using capital letters only at the start of
paragraphs; within paragraphs sentences were marked off only by
full points and a slightly increased word space.

The Grimms were philologians and wrote in a spirit of gentle
rationalism. As conducted a hundred years later, the argument took
on sharper overtones. A reform of orthography and of letterforms
was embodied in the work of the poet Stefan George (1868–1933)
as part of a larger project of a simplification and aestheticization

3 Stefan George, Der Stern des Bundes, Berlin: Georg Bondi, 1914.
(Same size.)
of life. (The architect Adolf Loos’s lowercase preferences would be another contemporary instance of the attitude.) The later books of George’s verse, designed under his direction, use a specially modified sanserif typeface and capitals only for opening words; punctuation is also simplified (figure 3). Early, pre-humanist and pre-capitalized German literature may have provided some inspiration here.

Some of these arguments were made by others at this time for quite different reasons: those of business efficiency. Walter Porstmann’s book Sprache und Schrift (1920) proposed a total abolition of capital letters, together with the use of a phonetically more accurate orthography and modified punctuation (figure 4). Porstmann had written a doctoral dissertation on measuring systems and had a scientist’s sense of good order, but this book was aimed at the world of administration. His ideals were exactly those of the Taylorist theories of conveyor-belt production, then at the height of their influence: ‘quick, clear, positive, fluent, economical’.3

These arguments were quickly taken up by modernist typographers in Germany and incorporated into their more aesthetically and also socially conscious vision. Sprache und Schrift was cited as the source for the single alphabet argument, as developed by (among others) Moholy-Nagy, Herbert Bayer and Jan Tschichold. In 1925, the Bauhaus cut its expressionist roots in conservative Weimar and moved to industrial, Social-Democratic Dessau. And, confirming this shift, capital letters were now abolished at the school (figure 5). In the heightened atmosphere of Germany at that time, the social-political implications of ‘Kleinschreibung’ (lowercase typography) began to emerge clearly.

The debate over ‘Kleinschreibung’ can be traced in the pages of Typographische Mitteilungen, the journal of the Bildungsverband der Deutschen Buchdrucker (educational organization of German letterpress printers). The extent and seriousness of concern with the question among printers – not just typographers – is suggested by a poll that was carried out by the organization, announced in a special issue on the theme in May 1931. Members were asked to

read the articles carefully and then vote for the approach that they supported:

1. capitals for sentence openings and proper names;
2. complete abolition of capitals;
3. continuation of the present rules.

The result was a clear majority for the first option: 53.5 per cent of the 26,876 members who voted; with 23.5 per cent and 23.0 per cent for the second and third approaches. The organization then adopted this moderated ‘Kleinschreibung’, as a campaigning policy. But the argument was soon forgotten, displaced by an intensification of the blackletter/roman debate. And when the National-Socialist party seized power in 1933, the burning typographic issue was the matter of letterforms, not orthography.
The discussion in *Typographische Mitteilungen* did produce one unambiguous statement of the political associations that could be attributed to lowercase. An editorial statement in the special issue concluded: ‘write small! no letters with powdered wigs and class-coronets / democracy in orthography too!’⁴ So lowercase was adopted by people who felt that egalitarian principles should extend to letters. For example, Bertolt Brecht habitually wrote and typed ‘small’ in his letters and diaries.

This debate was resumed in Germany after 1945. As after the First World War, the context was a society starting again from zero: basic assumptions were open to question.⁵ Socially critical writers such as Günter Grass and Hans Magnus Enzensberger went lowercase in their poems, and capitals were dropped for much internal communication at the Hochschule für Gestaltung Ulm (figure 6). But, despite some persuasive advocacy of the moderate reform, German-language orthography remains out of step with all other Latin-alphabet languages.

Meaning and articulation
The German debates raise the problem of upper- and/or lowercase in rather extreme forms: a process that helps to illuminate the issues. The argument put by Porstmann, and taken up by Moholy-Nagy, Bayer and the other new typographers, was ‘one sound, therefore one alphabet’: we pronounce ‘Dog’ and ‘dog’ identically, so why write them differently? And – to raise a slightly different question – if we can manage with only one set of numerals, why do we need two sets of letters?

In reply one might pose another question. If written language must follow speech, then should not every word be an exact transcription, responding to regional dialects and even personal idiolects? You say ‘tomarto’, I say ‘tomayto’. And if I came from Tasmania or Singapore, then further spelling adjustments might be necessary; and all spellings would have to be continually reviewed, to make sure that pronunciation had not changed. But written lan-

---

⁴ *Typographische Mitteilungen*, vol. 18, no. 5, 1931, p. 123. The contrast with Stanley Morison’s view (note 2) could hardly be greater.

⁵ See, for example, the articles for and against ‘Kleinschreibung’ in the ‘Sprache und Schrift’ issue of the journal *Pandora*, no. 4, 1946.
language does not merely transcribe the spoken. It is a fabricated system with an independent existence and its own conventions. If this unsettles the single-alphabet view, it does not prove a need for capital letters.

The argument must then back out of the dead end of sound-transcription and concentrate on the visual forms of text and what they mean. Let us agree that a requirement to capitalize all nouns is indefensible. But why stop short at proper names and sentence openings? Concerning the first category, the defender of capitals would say that it can actually make all the difference, in some contexts, to know that ‘Reading’ means the town in Berkshire or Pennsylvania, while ‘reading’ means the activity you are now engaged in. Or that ‘END’ is not ‘end’, but the group campaigning for European nuclear disarmament. Capital letters are part of the typographic repertoire and can articulate text in many ways, including those still undiscovered. Consider how clumsy a British or Canadian post-

5 A letter from the Kreis der Freunde des Bauhauses to Naum Gabo (28 July 1928). Note all-capitals in the heading, all-lowercase in the letter itself: a common thread of ‘one-track’ joins them. (Reduced to 52% of original size.)
code is when set just in lowercase (especially with capital-height numerals).

The justification for capital letters to open sentences would follow this last line of argument. It is not so much that capitals give meaning here, more that they give subtle assistance to the reader’s assimilation of text. We may not be able to measure it, but reading does seem to be made more comfortable by seeing sentences demarcated by initial capitals. The advocates of a radical ‘Klein-schreibung’ recognized this when they suggested the mid-positioning of full points or oblique strokes, to make up for the loss of the capital. The tendency for capital letters to stick out of text too noticeably has long been countered by the practice of designing forms that are just short of ascender-height, and by the development of small (x-height) capitals. But this close-grained typographer’s view has hardly been noticed in a debate dominated by philologists and visionaries.

6 An internal letter from the HfG Ulm: Tomás Maldonado to Anthony Froshaug (11 December 1959). Lowercase tended to be used at the HfG for internal communications, upper- and lowercase for external communications. (Reduced to 52% of original size.)
Seen in this more complex light, the absolute demand for lowercase seems mistaken: a product of utopian thinking in extreme conditions, but not a real option now, except in special cases. There are, however, still reforms to be fought for, in the German-language countries above all. Even in relatively enlightened English-language communities, capitalization often seems to go too far: in bibliographical lists or in display setting, where every noun is capitalized, if not whole words. We are still under the sway of a traditionalist-authoritarian view, which demands obedience to the ‘three-line whip’ of capitalization. The opposing attitude, which values informality and equality, and which sees small letters as the norm and capitals the exception to be deployed carefully and meaningfully, is not yet widely shared. A further push towards letter-democracy is still required.
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This was commissioned by the editors of the design group 8vo's magazine Octavo for a special 'lowercase' issue.
Eric Gill

Fiona MacCarthy, Eric Gill, Faber & Faber, 1989

Eric Gill (1882–1940), though primarily an artist and designer (he would have refused both terms), was also a lesser example of those loud, self-contradictory sages, who figured prominently in English culture of the first half of the twentieth century. One thinks of G. B. Shaw, H. G. Wells, or (closer to Gill) Hilaire Belloc and G. K. Chesterton. Active in several fields, they had strong views on everything. Celebrities in their own time, they have generated a succession of biographies, which become increasingly candid. Great men some of them may have been, but, one often feels, quite unbearable to know.

Fiona MacCarthy's Eric Gill is the fourth full-length study. The books by Robert Speaight (1966) and Donald Attwater (1969) were respectful accounts by younger contemporaries of Gill, from within or on the fringes of his social-religious orbit. Malcolm Yorke’s Eric Gill: man of flesh and spirit (1981) was written by an artist-academic of a younger generation. More about the work than the life, Yorke’s new information was a detailed description of Gill’s erotic and anatomical drawings, said to be unsafe for publication.

MacCarthy’s book is a simple biography. Indeed, advocates of Gill’s work – one awaits a Peter Fullerish revival of interest – may feel that she gets too much absorbed in the life. The lead story of her text is the account of Gill’s sexual activities. One knew, or could have guessed, that there had been a string of infidelities, put up with by his infinitely suffering wife, Ethel Mary. Now we know the names. Here the biographer’s task was simple enough: a trip to Los Angeles to read Gill’s diaries, in which he noted these occasions, along with much mundane description (‘haircut 8d.’), and the facts of his professional life, necessary for invoicing clients and making tax returns. But these affairs were not, except in a few cases, matters of the heart. The picture that we are given is of a mildly pathological character: a voyeur-patriarch, trying to keep jealous tabs on his extended family. There were incestuous episodes (sisters and daughters), experiments with prostitutes, even with a dog.
Gill announced his difference immediately, in his dress. His standard garment came to be a pre-modern, penis-freeing smock, the rationale for which he advanced in several essays and pamphlets. And his attitude to women was quite consciously medieval. He wanted them simple and undecorated, covered-up in public, and confined to domestic and agricultural activities. Despite himself, he did fall for the provocation offered by several modern or ‘new’ women of his acquaintance. As MacCarthy points out, the missionary trait ran in the Gill family – a grandfather and a brother served in the South Seas. For Eric, ‘missionary’ can be understood in every sense of that word. His saving graces seem to have been a sense of humour and a canny realism: he could compromise and admit mistakes, at least in public.

Do these revelations matter? Do they affect our understanding of the work? ‘It all goes together’, Gill used to proclaim, and one can – more than Fiona MacCarthy does – make connections. At one point she remarks that his large public sculptures are ‘overwrought and ponderous, they have a certain deadness’. On largely photographic evidence, I would agree – and extend the judgement to the small sculptures, most of the wood engravings, some of the drawings. The overworking in these things seems to belong with the unpleasantly obsessional in him: the essentially Calvinist (despite his Catholic conversion) drive for perfect order. Thus his very assured, instinctive ‘line’ – which seems bound up with his sexuality – gets polished to death. What is still alive are the things that were made in a hurry, particularly the less formal drawings. He was endlessly repetitive in his writing, but the Autobiography (done in a rush, just before he died) and the Essay on typography still bear reading. His correspondence is lively, especially the postcards.

Gill’s lettercutting and his typefaces are another matter. There his obsessions, his energies, the material, and the task, do all come together. It was lettercutting that (in 1903) let him escape from the drudgery of an architectural apprenticeship, into the independent life of the ‘workman’. Then, towards the end of the 1920s, it was type design that took Gill into useful engagement with mass-production, despite his previous rejection of it. Most typographers would now dismiss his first typeface, Perpetua, as a failure. Rather than anything Gill wanted, it was an attempt to prove Stanley Morison’s dog-
ma that good types had first to be cut in metal, not just drawn; the design process was a messy improvisation. But with the next types, Gill Sans and Joanna, his impulse to over-polish found a proper object. Typefaces have to be worked intensively, in a process of proposal and adjustment that involves several people, not least the draughtsmen who make the finished drawings. MacCarthy skates over these matters. But, as the sardonic chronicler of the British design establishment, she does better than previous biographers in sketching the conditions of Gill’s design work: his relations with clients, with apprentices, and the peculiarities of the workshop life.

Eric Gill is a gift to the strongly literary-biographical culture of his country: and despite his taste for theological-philosophical argument, he was very English. After some time in his company, the Continent seems far away, and the USA even further. He was a ‘character’, an English eccentric. He couldn’t stop producing, from instinct and from necessity (he charged only artisans’ fees, on principle). His doings can be documented in great detail. It makes an engrossing, depressing tale. Such biographies have their uses, but the more interesting and more difficult task would be to relate the life of Gill to the culture that nourished him and which he in turn – under protest – sustained. This would be a study of retardation, of a protracted adolescence in despite of the modern world: a larger pathology.

*Blueprint*, no. 54, February 1989
Index

This is primarily an index of proper names. Some key topics have been indexed too, where possible grouped into clusters (under ‘graphic design’ or ‘printing’, for example). Concentrated discussions are shown with bold page numbers.

Abercrombie, M. L. J, 305
Abrams, Janet, 13
Académie des Sciences, Paris, 351–2
Adams, George, [Georg Teltscher], 60
Adieu aesthetica & mooie pagina’s!, exhibition (The Hague, 1995) and book, 207–10
Minima moralia, 184–5, 287
Notes to literature, 186–7
Adprint, book packagers, London, 22, 60, 305
advertising, 329, 332
Aicher, Otl, 199, 200–6, 354–6, 347
Schreiben und Wiedersprechen, 354n
Typographie, 354n, 356, 357n
Welt als Entwurf, Die, 354n
Aicher-Scholl, Inge, 201
Albatross, publishers, Paris, 179
Albers, Josef, 237, 252, 254, 255
Aldridge, Alan, 178
Aldus Manutius, 118
Allford, David, 66
Alliance Graphique Internationale (AGI), 63
Allner, Heinz Walter, 259n
Alloway, Lawrence, 173
Althusser, Louis, 159, 321
American Institute of Graphic Arts (AIGA), New York, 207
Amsterdam: Universiteitsbiblio-
thek, 209, 371n; see also: Stedelijk Museum
anarchism, 68, 171, 172, 197, 201, 280, 282, 301
Anarchy, magazine, London, 170
Andere Bibliothek, Die, 190–9
Anderson, Benedict, Imagined communities, 345–6
Anderson, Colin, 153; see also: Ministry of Transport
Anderson, Perry, 10, 34, 270n, 306–7, 320n, 322, 340n, 353n
English questions, 353n
Anhaltische Rundschau, 252n
Apple Macintosh, 14, 86n, 93n, 96; see also: typesetting technics
Arbeiter-Illustrierte Zeitung, magazine, Berlin/Prague, 304
Architects’ Year Book, annual, London, 271
Architectural Design, magazine, London, 59
Architectural Review, magazine, London, 164, 267
Arena, magazine, London, 99
Arjan, Vítězný život, 18–19
Arnhem: Hogeschool voor de Kunsten, 88, 89, 104, 106
Arntz, Gerd, 53, 258
Artists International Association (AIA), 63, 64, 65
373
Arts Council of Great Britain, *Paintings, drawings, and sculpture*, exhibition catalogue, 30
Ascherson, Neal, 286n, 353n
*Games with shadows*, 353n
Association of Building Technicians, *Homes for the people*, 24
Attwater, Donald, *A cell of good living*, 167
Aubrey, John, 191
Austen, Jane, 217
Aynsley, Jeremy, 179, 180

Bach, Johann Sebastian, ‘St Matthew Passion’, 347–8
Baines, Phil, 86
Baker, Steve, 328–9, 332
Balding & Mansell, printers, London & Wisbech, 33, 79
Barker, Paul, 40
Barnett, Anthony, 353n
Barthes, Roland, 319, 322, 324, 328–9, 337, 367
*Mythologies*, 328
Bartlett School of Architecture, London, 56
Bartram, Alan, *The English lettering tradition*, 164–6
Basel:
Allgemeine Gewerbeschule, 73
Gewerfmuseum, *Planvolles Werben*, 20
*Baseline*, magazine, London, 129n, 245n
Baskerville, John, 118
Baskin, Wade, 336n
Baudrillard, Jean, 90
Bauer typefoundry, Frankfurt a.M, 255
Bauhaus, Weimer/Dessau/Berlin, 69, 137, 140, 148, 173, 174, 235, 236, 237, 244, 246–63, 293
Baxandall, Michael, 347n
Bayer, Herbert, 137, 139, 237, 239, 247, 252, 254, 255, 256, 260, 261n, 265
Beck, Henry C, 80, 160, 332
Becker, Thomas, 192
Beese, Lotte, 259n
Beethoven, Ludwig van, 70
Bell, Alexander Graham, 69
Bell, Michael, 167
Benjamin, Walter, 185, 287, 289, 350n
‘Über den Begriff der Geschichte’, 287, 289
Benn’s Sixpenny Library, 179
Benton, Linn Boyd, 144, 235
Benton, Tim, 11
Berger, John, 85, 99, 173
G, 85
*Seventh man, The*, 85
*Ways of seeing*, 85
Berlin: Free University, 95
Berlin, Isaiah, 306
Berliner Verkehrs-Betriebe (BVB), 47, 48, 98
Berman, Russell, 338n
Berner, Marie Louise, 68
Bertelsmann, publishing conglomerate, 199n
Berthold, composing machine & typeface manufacturers, Berlin, 94, 96, 98, 255, 359; see also: type-setting technics
Bertram, Anthony, 369
Best, Alastair, 12
‘Best Verzorgde Boeken’ competition & exhibition, 211, 212
Bierma, Wigger, 93n
Bildungsverband der Deutschen Buchdrucker, 137, 253
Bill, Max, 9, 175, 256, 282, 283, 284, 291–4, 296, 298, 300
‘Über Typografie’, 283n, 290, 291–3, 298
Birdsall, Derek, 33, 34, 40, 58, 79, 89
Blackburn, Robin, 34
Blake, John E, 79
Blake, William, 80, 170
*The marriage of heaven and hell*, 207
Bloch, Ernst, 185, 307

Block, journal, London, 321

Blokland, Erik van, 106–9

Bloomingdale’s, department store, New York, 100

Blueprint, magazine, London, 12–14, 63, 65n, 77n, 96, 157n, 169n, 176n, 206n

Bocking, Geoffrey, 68, 279

Boekraad, Hugues, 88, 90, 92

Bohm-Duchen, Monica, 309n

Boijmans van Beuningen Museum, Rotterdam, 92

Bolter, Jay David, Writing space, 349n

Bonsiepe, Gui, 323–5

Book design, see: typographic design

Book Trust, London, 212; see also: National Book League

Braun, household goods manufacturers, 200

Brecht, Bertolt, 42, 139, 306, 307, 350n

Me-ti, 42–3

Breuer, Marcel, 69, 306

Bridges, Robert, 233

Bringhurst, Robert, 342n

Bristol: West of England College of Art and Design, 70, 83, 173

‘Britain Can Make It’ exhibition (1946), London, 270

‘British Book Design & Production’ competition & exhibition, 211–2, 213n

British Federation of Master Printers, London, 212

British Film Institute, London, 85

British Leyland, 62

British Rail, 152

British Standards Institution, London, 308

British Telecom, 105

Brodsky, Joseph, 86

Brody, Neville, 45, 86, 99–100

Browne, Thomas, 196

Brusse, Wim, 296

Buber, Martin, 71, 172

Building Centre, London, 308

Bulthaup, kitchen manufacturers, 200

Bund Deutscher Grafik-Designer, 94

Burchartz, Max, 256

Burnhill, Peter, 226n

Buruma, Ian, 191

Calvert, Margaret, 65, 66, 153

Calvino, Italo, If on a winter’s night a traveller, 328

Cambridge University Press, ‘Guides for authors and printers’ series, 215

Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament (CND), 64, 79

Campbell, Ken, 80, 85

Broken rules and double crosses, 80

Campbell, Peter, 34

Carnap, Rudolf, 55

Carter, Harry, 118, 128, 274

Carter, Matthew, 104

Carter, Sebastian, 208

Twentieth century type designers, 143–9

Casablanca, magazine, London, 15

Cassandre [Adolphe Mouron], 76

Casson, Hugh, 70, 156

Central Lettering Record, London, 166

Central Office of Information, Great Britain, 65; see also: Ministry of Information

Central School of Arts & Crafts [Central School of Art & Design], London, 58–9, 79, 80, 82, 166, 279, 282

Challis, Clive, 85

Chapman, R.W, 217

Charlemagne, 165

Charles, Prince of Wales, 155

Charter 88, 353n

375
Chartered Society of Designers, 1851; see also: Society of Industrial Arts and Designers
Chelsea School of Art, London, 57, 65
Chesterton, G. K, 167
Christensen, Søren Møller, 199n
Cinamon, Gerald, 89, 179, 185, 357n
Circle (ed. J. L. Martin, Ben Nicholson & Naum Gabo), 265–6
Císařová, Hana, 182
City Limits, magazine, London, 45, 99
Coates, Stephen, 13
Cole, G.D.H, 305
Coleridge, Samuel Taylor, 172
Collins, publishers, London, 194
Collins, F. Howard, Authors’ and printers’ dictionary, 214–7
Coltrane, John, A love supreme, 9n
Commercial Art, magazine, London, 265
Communist Party of Great Britain, 279
Conrad, Joseph, 9, 196
Conran, Shirley, 177
Construction School, see: Bristol Constructivism, 182
Contemporary designers (ed. Ann Lee Morgan), 11
Corporate identity, 160
Corriere della Sera, newspaper, Milan, 151
Council of Industrial Design, see: Design Council
Cowper, William, 170
CPNB (Stichting Collectieve Propaganda van het Nederlandse Boek), 211
Crafts, magazine, London, 166n
Crafts Council, London, 86, 166n
Cranbrook Academy of Art, Bloomfield Hills, 15
Crooke, Pat, 59
Crosby, Theo, 57, 59
Crouwel, Wim, 92, 93n, 101, 105, 243, 244
Curwen Press, printers, London, 146
Cultural studies, 321–2, 332
Dada, 299
Daily Telegraph, The, newspaper, London, 151
Daulby, George, 82
Davie, George, The democratic intellect, 362n
Davis, Miles, Kind of blue, 9n
Davison, Ronald, Social security, 22–3
Deberny & Peignot, Paris, 73, 74
Deck, Barry, 368
Deighton, Len, 36
Department of Trade & Industry, Britain, 80
Department of Transport, see: Ministry of Transport
design, theory of, 70, 158–60, 185, 313–27, 364–6
Design, magazine, London, 79, 154, 155
‘Design & Reconstruction in Postwar Europe’ conference (1994), London, 301n
Design Business Association, 157
Design Council (Council of Industrial Design), London, 79, 155
Designer, magazine, London, 11, 12, 63, 80, 151n, 160n, 163n, 273n
Designers in Britain, annual, London, 269, 271, 272, 273
Designer’s Journal, magazine, London, 13
Design History Society, 11, 285n; see also: Journal of Design History
Design History Society Newsletter, 11, 181n, 183n
Design Research Unit, London, 65, 270
Design Review, magazine, London, 185n
Deutsche Bundespost (DB), 97
Deutsche Industrie-Normen (DIN), 235, 236, 251, 253, 259, 308–9
Deutscher Normenausschuß, 251, 308–9
Deutscher Werkbund, 94
Dickens, Charles, 98
Didot, François-Ambroise, 352
Directions, newsletter, Harpenden, 67n
Doesburg, Theo van, 243, 250
Dorland, advertising agency, Berlin, 260
Double Crown Club, London, 146, 147, 148
Doubleday, Henry, 67
Downes, Brian, 34
Drexler, Arthur, 200
Dreyfus, John, The work of Jan van Krimpen, 208
Drummond, Lindsay, publishers, London, 26, 304
Dutton, Norbert, 271
Dwiggins, W. A, 162

Eagleton, Terry, 320n, 322, 324
Edinburgh Review, journal, Edinburgh, 334n, 362n
editing of texts, 10, 132–3, 143, 204, 213, 215, 218–30 passim
Ehses, Hanno, 325
Eichborn Verlag, publishers, Frankfurt a.M, 191, 194
Einaudi, publishers, Turin, 181
Elek, Paul, publishers, London, 24
Eisenstein, Elizabeth, The printing press as an agent of change, 342–3
emigrés, 53–4, 56, 60, 65, 270, 297, 302–9
Emigre, magazine, Berkeley/Sacramento, 15, 106

Empson, William, 10, 12
Encyclopédie, 352
enlightenment, 350–4, 356–7, 361
Enschedé, Joh. & Zonen, typefounders and printers, Haarlem, 207, 208–9
Enschedé Font Foundry, The, typeface publishers & distributors, Zaltbommel, 210
ENSIE (Eerste Nederlandse Systematisch Ingerichte Encyclopaedie), 209
Enzensberger, Hans Magnus, 139, 190, 191, 196, 197
Epps, Timothy, 243, 244
ERCO, lighting manufacturers, 200
Esselte Letraset, typeface manufacturers, London, 129n, 245n
Esterson, Simon, 12–13
European Computer Manufacturers Association, 242
Evans, Christopher, 243, 244
Excoffon, Roger, 76
exile, 173, 184, 304, 309
Eye, magazine, London, 14–15, 61n, 83, 93n, 106n, 110n
Eyton, Audrey, 177

Faassen, Sjoerd van, 207, 208
Faber & Faber, publishers, London, 84, 278
Face, The, magazine, London, 99
Facetti, Germano, 34, 36, 40, 59, 181, 308
Farr, Michael, 79
Febvre, Lucien, & Henri-Jean Martin, The coming of the book, 342n
Ferrier, James Frederick, 334
Festival of Britain exhibition (1951), London, 64, 65, 267
‘Fifty Penguin years’ exhibition (1985), London, 177–81
Financial Times, The, newspaper, London, 151
Fior, Robin, 85, 89
Flaxman, John, 57
Fletcher, Alan, 58
*Fleuron, The*, journal, London, 146, 270n
Foges, Wolfgang, 60, 305
Folio Society, publishers, London, 198
font, 113–15, 120; see also: typefaces
FontShop, typeface publishers & distributors, Berlin, 46, 98n, 102, 107
Foot, Paul, 81
Forbes, Colin, 58
‘For Liberty’ exhibition (1942), London, 65
Forty, Adrian, *Objects of desire*, 158–60
Foster, Norman, 62, 97, 199–206, 355, 356
*Buildings and projects, 199–206*, 355n
Foucault, Michel, 367
Fournier, Pierre-Simon, 352
Frampton, Kenneth, 88, 185
Frankfurt Book Fair, 186, 190, 191, 199n, 212
Frankfurt School, 287
*Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, newspaper, Frankfurt, 151, 186
Freedom Defence Committee, 171
Friedl, Friedrich, 253n
*From Spitfire to microchip* (ed. Nicola Hamilton), 284n
Froshaug, Anthony, 9, 10, 32, 40, 58, 63, 68, 69, 80, 84, 141, 271, 279–84, 285n, 293–4, 296, 297, 298, 299, 301, 308
‘Conспектus’ (1951), 279, 281, 299
‘Visual methodology’, 283
Froshaug, Anthony (ed. Robin Kinross), 285n, 296n
Frutiger, Adrian, 72–7, 241, 242, 243
Fuller, Peter, 167
Futurism, 299
Gaberbocchus Press, publishers, London, 297
Gabo, Naum, 140
Gallimard, publishers, Paris, 181
Galt Toys, London, 80
Garamond, Claude, 145, 161
Garland, Ken, 38, 58, 78–81, 89, 284n
*Graphics handbook*, 38–9
*Word in your eye*, A, 78–81
Gasson, Christopher, *Who owns whom in British publishing*, 188
Gatwick Airport, 66
Gennep, Van, publishers, Amsterdam, 198
George, Dorothy, 177
George, Stefan, 136–7, 235, 250, 251
Gesellschafts- und Wirtschaftsmuseum in Wien, 22, 52, 258; see also: Isotype
Giedion, Sigfried, *Mechanization takes command*, 160
Gill, Eric, 77, 123–4, 125, 148, 167–9, 282
*Art nonsense*, 148
*Autobiography*, 168
*Essay on typography*, 124, 148, 168, 282
Gill, Ethel Mary, 167
Gissing, George, 191
‘Glasgow Style’ conference (1993), Glasgow, 371n
Glauber, Barbara, *Lift and separate*, 365n
Glazebrook, Mark, 84
Godwin, Tony, 178
Goethe, Johann Wolfgang, 277, 292
Gonsalves, Ron, 347n
Goodhue, Bertram, 118
Goodwin, Paul, 347n
Goody, Jack, 340–1
Gott, Richard, 177
Goudy, Frederic W, 162
Gould, Glenn, 70
Graatsma, William PARS, 107
*Grafische Berufsschule*, magazine, Munich, 254
Granada, publishing conglomerate, London, 194

graphic design, 53, 255–6, 303, 305, 307, 316, 319, 329

Britain, 62, 63, 65, 78–9, 80–1, 81–2, 85, 86n

Germany, 200

The Netherlands, 87, 106, 109, 212

Switzerland, 79, 82, 83, 241, 284, 293, 356
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Hecht, Ruth, 11

Heineken Prize for Art, 93n

Henderson, Nigel, 58


Henrion Design Associates, London, 62

Henrion, Ludlow & Schmidt, design group, London, 62, 306
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Helvetica, 34, 97, 99, 241
Icone, 75
Joanna, 169
Just LeftHand, 107
Legende, 21
Lucida Sans, 102
Méridien, 73
Meta, 98n, 102
Modern Extended 8A, 122–3
Myriad, 104
Neue Haas Grotesk, 34; see also: Helvetica
OCR-A, 242
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Scala, 102
Scala Sans, 102
Serifa, 77
Sheldon, 209
Stone Sans, 102
Telefont, 102–5
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